ELEMENTS OF MERCANTILE LAW

including Company Law and Industrial Law

[For B.Com., B.B.M., LL.B., other Professional Courses of all Indian Universities, and M.B.A., M.M.S., P.G.D.M. and I.A.S. Examinations]

N.D. KAPOOR

Head of the Department of Commerce Hans Raj College, University of Delhi, Delhi

Twenty-ninth Edition



Sultan Chand & Sons

Educational Publishers -New Delhi

VOLUME I—INTRODUCTORY

1. INTRODUCTORY

1

WHAT IS LAW 1
OBJECT OF LAW 2
SOURCES OF MERCANTILE LAW 3

PART ONE-GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF CONTRACT

1. NATURE OF CONTRACT

1

OBJECT OF LAW OF CONTRACT 1
THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT, 1872 1
DEFINITION OF CONTRACT 2
ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF A VALID CONTRACT 4
CLASSIFICATION OF CONTRACTS 6
Classification according to validity 6
Classification according to formation 7
Classification according to performance 8

SUMMARY 10

TEST QUESTIONS 11 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 11

2. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

13

OFFER 13

Legal rules as to offer 14 Special terms in a contract 17

ACCEPTANCE 18

Who can accept? 19
Legal rules as to acceptance 19

COMMUNICATION OF OFFER, ACCEPTANCE AND REVOCATION 22

Contracts over telephone or telex or oral communication 24 When does an offer come to an end? 24

SUMMARY 26

TEST QUESTIONS 27
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 27

3.	DEFINITION OF CONSIDERATION 30 LEGAL RULES AS TO CONSIDERATION 31 STRANGER TO CONTRACT 35 CONTRACT WITHOUT CONSIDERATION 37 SUMMARY 38 TEST QUESTIONS 38 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 39	30
4.	CAPACITY TO CONTRACT MINORS 41 PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND 44 OTHER PERSONS 45 SUMMARY 47 TEST QUESTIONS 47 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 48	
5.	FREE CONSENT MEANING OF CONSENT AND FREE CONSENT 49 COERCION 50 UNDUE INFLUENCE 52 Difference between coercion and undue influence 55 MISREPRESENTATION 55 FRAUD 57 Distinction between fraud and misrepresentation 61 MISTAKE 62 Mistake of law 62 Mistake of fact 62 SUMMARY 66 TEST QUESTIONS 68 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 68	49
6.	LEGALITY OF OBJECT WHEN IS CONSIDERATION OR OBJECT UNLAWFUL? 71 UNLAWFUL AND ILLEGAL AGREEMENTS 73 AGREEMENTS OPPOSED TO PUBLIC POLICY 75 SUMMARY 83 TEST QUESTIONS 84 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 84	71
7.	VOID AGREEMENTS VOID AGREEMENTS 86 Agreements the meaning of which is uncertain 86 Wagering agreement or wager 87 VOID CONTRACTS 90	86

	RESTITUTION 90 SUMMARY 91 TEST QUESTIONS 92 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 92	
8.	CONTINGENT CONTRACTS MEANING 93 RULES REGARDING CONTINGENT CONTRACTS 94 SUMMARY 95 TEST QUESTIONS 95 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 96	93
9.	OFFER TO PERFORM 97 CONTRACTS WHICH NEED NOT BE PERFORMED 99 BY WHOM MUST CONTRACTS BE PERFORMED? 99 DEVOLUTION OF JOINT LIABILITIES AND RIGHTS 100 WHO CAN DEMAND PERFORMANCE? 101 TIME AND PLACE OF PERFORMANCE 101 RECIPROCAL PROMISES 102 TIME AS THE ESSENCE OF CONTRACT 104 APPROPRIATION OF PAYMENTS 105 ASSIGNMENT OF CONTRACTS 106 SUMMARY 108 TEST QUESTIONS 109 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 109	97
10.	MEANING 111 DISCHARGE BY PERFORMANCE 111 DISCHARGE BY AGREEMENT OR CONSENT 111 DISCHARGE BY IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE 114 Discharge by supervening impossibility 115 DISCHARGE BY LAPSE OF TIME 119 DISCHARGE BY OPERATION OF LAW 119 SUMMARY 122 TEST QUESTIONS 122 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 123	111
11.	REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT WHERE THERE IS A RIGHT, THERE IS A REMEDY 125 RESCISSION 125 DAMAGES 125 Rules as to damages 127 Liquidated damages and penalty 130	125

	QUANTUM MERUIT 132 SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE 132 INJUNCTION 133 RECTIFICATION OR CANCELLATION 133 SUMMARY 134 TEST QUESTIONS 134 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 135	105
12.	QUASI-CONTRACTS MEANING 137 KINDS OF QUASI-CONTRACTS 137 SUMMARY 142 TEST QUESTIONS 142 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 143	137
	PART TWO—SPECIAL CONTRACTS	
1.	INDEMNITY AND GUARANTEE CONTRACT OF INDEMNITY 145 Rights of indemnity-holder when sued 146 CONTRACT OF GUARANTEE 147 Distinction between indemnity and guarantee 149 EXTENT OF SURETY'S LIABILITY 150 KINDS OF GUARANTEE 151 RIGHTS OF SURETY 152 DISCHARGE OF SURETY 155 SUMMARY 159 TEST QUESTIONS 159 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 160	162
2	BAILMENT 162 CLASSIFICATION OF BAILMENTS 164 DUTIES AND RIGHTS OF BAILOR AND BAILEE 164 Duties of bailor 164 Duties of bailee 165 Rights of bailee 167 Rights of bailee 167 LAW RELATING TO LIEN 168 FINDER OF GOODS 170 TERMINATION OF BAILMENT 171 PLEDGE 171 Difference between pledge and bailment 171 RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF PAWNOR AND PAWNEE 172 Rights of pawnee 172	

	Rights of pawnor 172 PLEDGE BY NON-OWNERS 173 SUMMARY 174 TEST QUESTIONS 174 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 175	
	3. CONTRACT OF AGENCY	T
	DEFINITION OF AGENT AND PRINCIPAL 177 CREATION OF AGENCY 179 Agency by express agreement 179 Agency by implied agreement 179 CLASSIFICATION OF AGENTS 184 RELATIONS OF PRINCIPAL AND AGENT 186 Duties of agent 186 Rights of agent 190 Duties of principal 192 Rights of principal 192 Rights of principal 192 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY 193 Extent of agent's authority 193 Position of principal and agent in relation to third parties 196 PERSONAL LIABILITY OF AGENT 199 TERMINATION OF AGENCY 200 Irrevocable agency 202 SUMMARY 203 TEST QUESTIONS 205 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 206	177
4-1.	SALE OF GOODS	
682	Contract of sale of goods 209 Sale and agreement to sell 209 Sale and hire-purchase agreement 211 Sale and barter or exchange 212 Sale and bailment 213 Sale and contract for work and materials 213 SUBJECT-MATTER OF CONTRACT OF SALE 213 DOCUMENT OF TITLE TO GOODS 215 STIPULATIONS AS TO TIME 217 SUMMARY 217 TEST QUESTIONS 218 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 218	209
4-2.		
	CONDITIONS AND WARRANTIES MEANING OF CONDITION AND WARRANTY 219	219

	EXPRESS AND IMPLIED CONDITIONS AND WARRANTIES Implied conditions 220 Implied warranties 225 CAVEAT EMPTOR 226 SUMMARY 227 TEST QUESTIONS 218 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 218	220
4-3.	TRANSFER OF PROPERTY	230
	PROPERTY, POSSESSION AND RISK 230 PASSING OF PROPERTY 230 Specific goods 231 Unascertained goods 232 Goods sent on approval or on sale or return 233 CONTRACTS INVOLVING SEA ROUTES 235 F.A.S. contracts 235 F.O.B. contracts 235 C.I.F. contracts 235 Ex-ship contracts 237 SALE BY NON-OWNERS 237 SUMMARY 240 TEST QUESTIONS 240 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 241	
4-4.	PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT	244
	Rules as to delivery of goods 245 Acceptance of delivery 248 RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF THE BUYER 249 SUMMARY 251 TEST QUESTIONS 251 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 251	
4-5.	RIGHTS OF AN UNPAID SELLER	253
	WHO IS AN UNPAID SELLER? 253 RIGHTS OF AN UNPAID SELLER AGAINST THE GOODS 25 Right of lien 254 Right of stoppage in transit 255 Right of re-sale 257 RIGHT OF WITHHOLDING DELIVERY 257 REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT OF SALE 258 AUCTION SALES 259 SUMMARY 261 TEST QUESTIONS 261	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 262	

5-1.	NATURE OF PARTNERSHIP	263
5-1. VIE	DEFINITION OF PARTNERSHIP 263 Law of partnership—extension of law of agency 266 FORMATION OF PARTNERSHIP 267 PARTNERS, FIRM, FIRM NAME 268 TEST OF PARTNERSHIP 269 PARTNERSHIP AND OTHER ASSOCIATIONS 271 Partnership and joint Hindu family 271 Partnership and co-ownership 272 DURATION OF PARTNERSHIP 272 REGISTRATION OF FIRMS 273 Effects of non-registration 274 SUMMARY 276 TEST QUESTIONS 277 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 277	263
5-2.	RELATIONS OF PARTNERS	279
728	RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO ONE ANOTHER 279 Rights of a partner 279 Duties of a partner 281 PROPERTY OF THE FIRM 283 RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO THIRD PARTIES 285 TYPES OF PARTNERS 289 Minor partner 291 RECONSTITUTION OF A FIRM 292 SUMMARY 296 TEST QUESTIONS 298 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 299	.8.8
5-3.	DISSOLUTION OF FIRM DISSOLUTION WITHOUT THE ORDER OF COURT 302 DISSOLUTION BY COURT 303 RIGHTS OF A PARTNER ON DISSOLUTION 305 LIABILITIES OF A PARTNER ON DISSOLUTION 306 SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNTS 306 SUMMARY 251 TEST QUESTIONS 251 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 251	302
6-1.	NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS DEFINITION OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 313 TYPES OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS 315 Negotiable by statute 315 Negotiable by custom or usage 315	313

	SUMMARY 315	
-	TEST QUESTIONS 316	
6-2.	NOTES, BILLS AND CHEQUES	317
	PROMISSORY NOTE 317	
	BILL OF EXCHANGE 320	
	Distinction between a bill and a note 321	
	CHEQUE 322	
	Marking of cheques 323	
	Crossing of cheques 324	
	CLASSIFICATION OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS 326	
	Bearer and order instruments 326	
	Inland and foreign instruments 327	
	Instruments payable on demand 327	
	Accommodation bill 328	
	Ambiguous instrument 329	
	Inchoate instrument 329	
	BILLS IN SETS 330	
	MATURITY AND DAYS OF GRACE 331	
	PAYMENT IN DUE COURSE 332	
	INTEREST ON BILLS AND NOTES 333	
	SUMMARY 333	
	TEST QUESTIONS 335	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 335	
6.3.	PARTIES TO A NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	337
	CAPACITY OF PARTIES 337	
	PARTIES TO NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS 339	
	Parties to a bill of exchange 339 Massa Jacobs Assa	
	Parties to a promissory note 339	
	Parties to a cheque 339	
	HOLDER AND HOLDER IN DUE COURSE 340	
	LIABILITY OF PARTIES 342	
	SUMMARY 344	
	TEST QUESTIONS 345	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 345	
6-4.	NEGOTIATION ISS VEIGHBURS	347
0-4.	TRANSFER BY NEGOTIATION 347	
	TRANSFER BY ASSIGNMENT 348	
	INDORSEMENT 349	
	III VANDAMANA	

Kinds of indorsement 349

Stolen instruments 352

INSTRUMENTS OBTAINED BY UNLAWFUL MEANS 352

Instruments obtained by coercion or fraud 352

	Forged instruments 353 Forged indorsement 353 Instruments without consideration 354 Lost negotiable instruments 355 SUMMARY 356 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 357	419
6-5.	PRESENTMENT OF A NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	359
	Modes of acceptance 360 When presentment for acceptance is excused 361 Acceptor for honour 361 PRESENTMENT FOR SIGHT 362 PRESENTMENT FOR PAYMENT 362	
	Presentment for payment not necessary 362 SUMMARY 365	
	TEST QUESTIONS 366 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 366	
6-6.	DISHONOUR OF A NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT MEANING 367	367
	Dishonour by non-acceptance 367 Dishonour by non-payment 367 NOTICE OF DISHONOUR 367	
	NOTING 369 PROTEST 370 RULES AS TO COMPENSATION 371	
	PENALTIES IN CASE OF DISHONOUR OF CHEQUES 371 SUMMARY 373 TEST QUESTIONS 374 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 374	
6-7.	DISCHARGE OF A NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT DISCHARGE OF AN INSTRUMENT 375 DISCHARGE OF A PARTY OR PARTIES 376 Material alteration 377 SUMMARY 378	375
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 379	
6-8.	RULES OF EVIDENCE, ESTOPPEL AND INTER-WANATIONAL LAW PRESUMPTIONS AS TO NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS 380 ESTOPPEL 380 INTERNATIONAL LAW 380 INTERNATIONAL LAW 380	380

	SUMMARI 381	
	TEST QUESTIONS 381	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 381	
6-9.	HUNDIS TO THE THE PARTY OF THE	382
	KINDS OF HUNDIS 382	
	GENERAL TERMS 383	
	SUMMARY 383	
	TEST QUESTIONS 383	
6-10.		384
	DEFINITION OF BANKER AND CUSTOMER 384	304
	LEGAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BANKER AND CUSTOMER 385	
	Special features of this legal relationship 385	
	When may a banker dishonour a cheque? 386	
	When must a banker dishonour a cheque? 386	
	PROTECTION OF PAYING BANKER 387	
	PROTECTION OF COLLECTING BANKER 389	
	SUMMARY 390	
	TEST QUESTIONS 391	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 391	
7-1.	ARBITRATION COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	393
	MEANING 393	
	ARBITRATION AGREEMENT 394	
	ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ARBITRATION 3	96
	POWER OF JUDICIAL AUTHORITY TO REFER PARTIES TO ARBITRATION 397	
	MATTERS WHICH CAN BE REFERRED TO ARBITRATION 3	207
	WHO CAN REFER? 398	101
	COMPOSITION OF ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL 399	
	ARBITRATORS 399	
	Appointment of arbitrators 399	
	Substitution of arbitrator 401	
	Death or insolvency of a party 401	
	JURISDICTION OF ARBITRAL TRIBUNALS 402	
	CONDUCT OF ARBITRAL PROCEEDINGS 402	
	Powers of arbitrators 406	
	Duties of arbitrators 407	
	AWARD 408	
	Finalty of arbitral award 410	
	Correction and interpretation of award 410	
	Setting aside of an arbitral award	
	Appealable orders 414	

CITATATATATA

	TEST QUESTIONS 416 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 417	
7-2.	CONCILIATION COMMENCEMENT OF CONCILIATION PROCEEDINGS 419 Number of conciliators 419 Role of conciliator 420 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT 421 TERMINATION OF CONCILIATION PROCEEDINGS 422 MISCELLANEOUS 423 SUPPLEMENTARY PROVISIONS 423 TEST QUESTIONS 424	419
8-1.	LAW OF INSURANCE CONTRACT OF INSURANCE 425 Difference between insurance and wager 426 FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS OF INSURANCE 427 PREMIUM 432 RE-INSURANCE AND DOUBLE INSURANCE 433 SUMMARY 434 TEST QUESTIONS 435 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 435	425
8-2.	SUMMARY 441 TEST QUESTIONS 444 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 444	437
8-3.	FIRE INSURANCE CONTRACT OF FIRE INSURANCE 445 AVERAGE CLAUSE IN A FIRE POLICY 445 INSURABLE INTEREST 446 FIRE AND LOSS BY FIRE 447 RIGHTS OF INSURER 448 TYPES OF FIRE POLICIES 449	445

MISCELLANEOUS 415

	ASSIGNMENT 450 SUMMARY 450 TEST QUESTIONS 451 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 451	
8-4.		400
	WARRANTIES IN A CONTRACT OF MARINE INSURANCE VOYAGE 462 PREMIUM 464 LOSSES 464 RIGHTS OF INSURER ON PAYMENT 469	
	SUMMARY 470 TEST QUESTIONS 471 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 471	
9-1.	CARRIAGE OF GOODS CARRIAGE BY LAND 473 CLASSIFICATION OF CARRIERS 473 Common carrier 473 Private carrier 474 Rights of common carrier 474 Duties of common carrier 475 GOODS 477 CARRIAGE BY RAIL 478 RESPONSIBILITY OF RAILWAY AS CARRIERS 479 SUMMARY 482 TEST QUESTIONS 483 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 483	473
9-2.	CARRIAGE BY SEA CONTRACT OF AFFREIGHTMENT 484 CHARTER PARTY 485 BILL OF LADING 486 Facts of which a bill of lading is prima facie evidence 486 Kinds of bill of lading 487 SUMMARY 489 TEST QUESTIONS 490 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 490	484
9-3.	CARRIAGE BY AIR DEFINITIONS 491 DOCUMENTS OF CARRIAGE 492	491

Passenger ticket 492
Baggage check 492
INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE BY AIR 494
LIABILITY OF AIR CARRIER 494
SUMMARY 495
TEST QUESTIONS 496
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 496

PART THREE-LAW OF INSOLVENCY

1. LAW OF INSOLVENCY

497

NATURE OF INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS 497
JURISDICTION OF INSOLVENCY COURTS 498
WHO CAN BE ADJUDGED INSOLVENT? 498
ACTS OF INSOLVENCY 500
STAGES IN INSOLVENCY 503
SUMMARY 503
TEST QUESTIONS 504
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 504

2. FROM PETITION TO ADJUDICATION

505

CONDITIONS OF A CREDITOR'S PETITION 505
CONDITIONS OF A DEBTOR'S PETITION 506
PROCEDURE AFTER PRESENTATION OF PETITION 506
ORDER OF ADJUDICATION 508
EFFECT OF INSOLVENCY ON ANTECEDENT
TRANSACTIONS 514
SUMMARY 517
TEST QUESTIONS 518
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 518

3. PROPERTY AND DEBTS OF INSOLVENT

520

PROPERTY OF INSOLVENT 520
Property not divisible among creditors 520
Property divisible among creditors 520
Doctrine of reputed ownership 521
After-acquired property 522
DEBTS OF INSOLVENT 523

Debts provable in insolvency 523
Debts not provable in insolvency 524
DISTRIBUTION OF INSOLVENT'S PROPERTY 524
DECLARATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF DIVIDENDS 526
OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER 526
SUMMARY 529
TEST QUESTIONS 529
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 529

DESPATCH OF ELECTRONIC RECORDS 580
SECURE ELECTRONIC RECORDS AND SECURE

DIGITAL SIGNATURES 581

REGULATION OF CERTIFYING AUTHORITIES 582
DIGITAL SIGNATURE CERTIFICATES 585
DUTIES OF SUBSCRIBERS 587
PENALTIES AND ADJUDICATION 587
THE CYBER REGULATIONS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL 589
OFFENCES 592
TEST QUESTIONS 594

4. COMPETITION ACT, 2002

595

DEFINITIONS 595

PROHIBITION OF CERTAIN AGREEMENTS, ABUSE OF DOMINANT POSITION AND REGULATION OF COMBINATIONS 598

PROHIBITION OF ABUSE OF DOMINANT POSITION 600 REGULATION OF COMBINATIONS 601 COMPETITION COMMISSION OF INDIA 604 DUTIES, POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF COMMISSION 607 DUTIES OF DIRECTOR GENERAL 618

DUTIES, FOWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF CO DUTIES OF DIRECTOR GENERAL 618 PENALTIES 618 COMPETITION ADVOCACY 620 FINANCE, ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT 620 COMPETITION APPELLATE TRIBUNAL 621 TEST QUESTIONS 623

VOLUME II—COMPANY LAW

1. NATURE OF COMPANY

1

DEFINITION OF COMPANY 1
CHARACTERISTICS OF A COMPANY 2
LIFTING OR PIERCING THE CORPORATE VEIL 3
COMPANY DISTINGUISHED FROM PARTNERSHIP 6
COMPANY LAW IN INDIA 8
The Companies Act, 1956 9

The Companies Act, 1956 S TEST QUESTIONS 10 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 10

2. KINDS OF COMPANIES

11

CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF INCORPORATION 11
Statutory companies 11
Registered companies 11
CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF LIABILITY 11

CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF LIABILITY 11

Companies with limited liability 11

Unlimited companies 12

	CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF NUMBER OF MEMBERS 12	
	Private company 12 MOREAGIGITADA COMA POLITICADE I	
	Public company 13	
	Special privileges of a private company 13	
	When does a private company become a public company? 14	
	CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF CONTROL 15	
	Holding company 15	
	Subsidiary company 15	
	CLASSIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP 18	
	Government company 16	
	Foreign company 18	
	ASSOCIATIONS NOT FOR PROFIT 21	
	ONE-MAN COMPANY 22	
	PROHIBITION OF LARGE PARTNERSHIPS 22	
	TEST QUESTIONS 23	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 24	
	MCA—ELECTRONIC FILING OF FORMS 24A	
3.		25
	INCORPORATION OF COMPANY 25	
	CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION 26	
	PROMOTER 27	
	PRE-INCORPORATION OR PRELIMINARY CONTRACTS 30	
	PROVISIONAL CONTRACTS 31	
	TEST QUESTIONS 31 WASHIOO A TO BOTTO ASTALLO	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 32	
А	· MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION	33
*.	COMPANY LAW IN INDIA SECRETARISM AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	33
	A FUNDAMENTAL DOCUMENT 33	
	CONTENTS OF MEMORANDUM 34	
	Name clause 34 OL. PMEJEORY JADITDARY	
	Registered office clause 36	
	Objects clause 36	
	Capital clause 37	
	Liability clause 37	
	Association clause 37	
	ALTERATION OF MEMORANDUM 37	
	DOCTRINE OF ULTRA VIRES 41 honored allow solesquared	
	TEST QUESTIONS 42 Si esinagmos betuntique	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 42	

5	ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION		44
	CONTENTS OF ARTICLES 44		
	Adoption and application of Table A 45		
	ALTERATION OF ARTICLES 46		
	Limitations to alteration 46		
	ARTICLES AND MEMORANDUM—THEIR RELATION	47	
	ARTICLES AND MEMORANDUM—DISTINCTION 48		
	LEGAL EFFECT OF MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES	48	
	CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE OF MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES 49		
	DOCTRINE OF INDOOR MANAGEMENT 50		
	TEST QUESTIONS 52		
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 52		
6	B. PROSPECTUS		53
	DEFINITION 53		
	Registration of prospectus 54		
	Shelf Prospectus 54		
	Information Memorandum 55		
	Red-herring Prospectus 56		
	Abridged Prospectus 56		
	CONTENTS OF PROSPECTUS 56		
	OFFER FOR SALE—DEEMED PROSPECTUS 61		
	MISSTATEMENTS IN PROSPECTUS AND THEIR CONSEQUENCES 62		
	Civil liability 62		
	Criminal liability 66		
	STATEMENT IN LIEU OF PROSPECTUS 66		
	COMMENCEMENT OF BUSINESS 68		
	UNDERWRITING COMMISSION 69		
	BROKERAGE 69		
	BOOK BUILDING 70		
	DEMATERIALISED SECURITIES 72		
	TEST QUESTIONS 75		
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 76		
7	MEMBERSHIP IN A COMPANY		77
	MEMBERS AND SHAREHOLDERS 77		
	WHO CAN BECOME A MEMBER? 77		
	HOW CAN ONE BECOME A MEMBER? 78		
	CESSATION OF MEMBERSHIP 79		
	RIGHTS OF MEMBERS 80		
	LIABILITY OF MEMBERS 81		
	REGISTER OF MEMBERS 82		
	INDEX OF MEMBERS 82		
	ANNUAL RETURN 84		

Į

	TEST QUESTIONS 85 PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 85	
8	S. SHARE CAPITAL	
	KINDS OF SHARE CAPITAL 88 ALTERATION OF CAPITAL 88	87
	REDUCTION OF CAPITAL 88	
	FURTHER ISSUE OF CAPITAL OR RIGHTS ISSUE 91 REORGANISATION OF CAPITAL 94	
	VOTING RIGHTS 94	
	TEST QUESTIONS 96	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 96	
9.	SHARES	05
	DEFINITION 97	97
	STOCK AND SHARES 97	
	TYPES OF SHARES 98	
	Preference shares 98	
	Equity shares 99	
	oweat equity shares 99	
	APPLICATION AND ALLOTMENT OF SHARES 100	
	MINIMUM APPLICATION VALUE AND MINIMUM APPLICATION SIZE 101	
	OVER SUBSCRIPTION 102	
	CALLS ON SHARES 105	
	SHARE CERTIFICATE 106	
	SHARE WARRANT 107	
	TRANSFER OF SHARES 108 DAY TO LIEU MILITARIA	
	LIEN ON SHARES 110	
	FORFEITURE OF SHARES 111	
	NOMINATION OF SHARES 112	
	TRANSMISSION OF SHARES 113	
	PURCHASE BY COMPANY OF ITS OWN SHARES 113 BUY-BACK OF SECURITIES 114	
	ISSUE OF SHAPES AT A PREMIUM 116	
	ISSUE OF SHARES AT A DISCOUNT 117	
	ISSUE OF SWEAT EQUITY SHARES 118	
	DIVIDENDS 118 EMAREMOLESES ES GMA EXHIBITION	
	RULES REGARDING DIVIDEND 118	
	INVESTOR EDUCATION AND PROTECTION FUND 120	
	PAYMENT OF INTEREST OUT OF CAPITAL 121	
	BONUS SHARES 121	
	SEBI GUIDELINES FOR ISSUE OF BONUS SHARES 122	

TEST QUESTIONS 123
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 124

11.

RESOLUTIONS 160

Special resolution 161

TEST QUESTIONS 163

Ordinary resolution 160

PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 164

Resolution requiring a Special Notice 162

12.	BORROWING POWERS, DEBENTURES AND CHARGES	64A
	ULTRA VIRES BORROWING 164-A	
	DEBENTURES 164-B	
	KINDS OF DEBENTURES 165-C	
	REMEDIES OF DEBENTURE-HOLDERS 164-E	
	CREATION OF CHARGES 164-E	
	Fixed charge 164-F	
	Floating charge !64-F	
	MORTGAGES AND CHARGES 164-G	
	REGISTRATION OF CHARGES 164-G	
	Effects of non-registration of a charge 164-I	
	Company's register of charges 164-K	
	TEST QUESTIONS 164-K	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 164-K	
13.	ACCOUNTS AND AUDITORS	165
	ACCOUNTS 165	
	Books of account to be kept by a company 165	
	STATUTORY BOOKS 166	
,	ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND BALANCE SHEET 167	
	Constitution of National Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards 167	
	Board's report 168	
	Compliance with Accounting Standards 169	
	AUDITORS 170	
	Audit Committee 170	
	APPOINTMENT OF AUDITORS 171	
	RIGHTS, POWERS AND DUTIES OF AUDITORS 173	
	Auditor's report 173	
	SPECIAL AUDIT 176	
	AUDIT OF COST ACCOUNTS 177	
	TEST QUESTIONS 178	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 178 EEL viriodius roquiti	
14.	PREVENTION OF OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT	179
	THE PRINCIPLE OF MAJORITY RULE 179	
	Exceptions to the Rule in Foss v. Harbottle 180	
	PREVENTION OF OPPRESSION 181	
	PREVENTION OF MISMANAGEMENT 181	
	WHO MAY APPLY FOR RELIEF UNDER SECS. 397/398? 182	
	POWERS OF COMPANY LAW BOARD 182	
	POWERS OF CENTRAL GOVERNMENT 183	
	TEST QUESTIONS 184	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 184	
	TO SINGEON JAMES TO	

15. COMPROMISES, ARRANGEMENTS AND RECONSTRUCTIONS

185

COMPROMISE 185

Compromise when a company is a going concern 185
Compromise during the winding up of a company 186
RECONSTRUCTION AND AMALGAMATION 187
AMALGAMATION OF COMPANIES IN NATIONAL INTEREST 189
TEST QUESTIONS 189
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 190

16. WINDING UP

191

MEANING OF WINDING UP 191 MODES OF WINDING UP 191 WINDING UP BY THE COURT 191

Grounds for compulsory winding up 191
Petition 194

POWERS OF COURT 196

CONSEQUENCES OF WINDING UP ORDER 196
PROCEDURE OF WINDING UP BY THE COURT 197

Official Liquidator 197 Liquidator 197 Provisional Liquidator 198 Statement of affairs 200 Committee of inspection 201 Dissolution of company 203

CONTRIBUTORY 203 VOLUNTARY WINDING UP 204

Members' voluntary winding up 205 Creditors' voluntary winding up 207 CONSEQUENCES OF WINDING UP 209

TEST QUESTIONS 213
PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 214

DEFUNCT COMPANY 212

VOLUME III—INDUSTRIAL LAW

1. THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948

WHAT IS A FACTORY 1
DEFINITIONS 5
THE INSPECTING STAFF 9

1

12.		164A
	ULTRA VIRES BORROWING 164-A	
	DEBENTURES 164-B	
	KINDS OF DEBENTURES 165-C	
	REMEDIES OF DEBENTURE-HOLDERS 164-E	
	CREATION OF CHARGES 164-E	
	Fixed charge 164-F	
	Troubling Charge 104 I	
	MORTGAGES AND CHARGES 164-G	
	REGISTRATION OF CHARGES 164-G	
	Effects of non-registration of a charge 164-I	
	Company's register of charges 164-K TEST QUESTIONS 164-K	
	TEST QUESTIONS 164-K	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 164-K.	
13.	ACCOUNTS AND AUDITORS	165
	ACCOUNTS 165	
	Books of account to be kept by a company 165	
	STATUTORY BOOKS 166	
	ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND BALANCE SHEET 167	
	Constitution of National Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards 167	
	Board's report 168	
	Compliance with Accounting Standards 169	
	AUDITORS 170	
	Audit Committee 170	
	APPOINTMENT OF AUDITORS 171	
	RIGHTS, POWERS AND DUTIES OF AUDITORS 173	
	Auditor's report 173	
	SPECIAL AUDIT 176	
	AUDIT OF COST ACCOUNTS 177 in hyperby quantibus 1968	
	TEST QUESTIONS 178	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 178	
14.	PREVENTION OF OPPRESSION AND MISMANAGEMENT	179
	THE PRINCIPLE OF MAJORITY RULE 179	
	Exceptions to the Rule in Foss v. Harbottle 180	
	PREVENTION OF OPPRESSION 181	
	PREVENTION OF MISMANAGEMENT 181	
	WHO MAY APPLY FOR RELIEF UNDER SECS. 397/398? 182	
	POWERS OF COMPANY LAW BOARD 182	
	POWERS OF CENTRAL GOVERNMENT 183	
	TECT OFFICE 104	
	PROGRAM PROPERTY AND	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 184	

15.	TO NO	1.01
	TIONS A 451 ONIWORTOR RESTORATION	185
	COMPROMISE 185	
	Compromise when a company is a going concern 185	
	Compromise during the winding up of a company 186	
	RECONSTRUCTION AND AMALGAMATION 187	
	AMALGAMATION OF COMPANIES IN NATIONAL INTEREST	189
	TEST QUESTIONS 189	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 190	
16.	WINDING UP	191
	MEANING OF WINDING UP 191	
	MODES OF WINDING UP 191	
	WINDING UP BY THE COURT 191	
	Grounds for compulsory winding up 191	
	Petition 194	
	POWERS OF COURT 196	
	CONSEQUENCES OF WINDING UP ORDER 196	
	PROCEDURE OF WINDING UP BY THE COURT 197	
	Official Liquidator 197	
	Liquidator 197	
	Provisional Liquidator 198	
	Statement of affairs 200	
	Committee of inspection 201	
	Dissolution of company 203	
	CONTRIBUTORY 203	
	VOLUNTARY WINDING UP 204	
	Members' voluntary winding up 205	

VOLUME III—INDUSTRIAL LAW

Creditors' voluntary winding up 207

TEST QUESTIONS 213

CONSEQUENCES OF WINDING UP 209

PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 214

1. THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948
WHAT IS A FACTORY 1
DEFINITIONS 5

THE INSPECTING STAFF 9

DEFUNCT COMPANY 212

1

	HEALTH 11	
	SAFETY 15	
	HAZARDOUS PROCESSES 21	
	WELFARE 25	
	WORKING HOURS OF ADULTS 27	
	HOLIDAYS 30	
	EMPLOYMENT OF YOUNG PERSONS 31	
	EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN 34	
	ANNUAL LEAVE WITH WAGES 35	
	PENALTIES AND PROCEDURE 40	
	TEST QUESTIONS 41 TU SWITCHING	
2.	THE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT, 1923	42
	DEFENCES AVAILABLE TO EMPLOYERS BEFORE PASSING OF THE ACT 43	
	The Fatal Accidents Act, 1855 44	
	The Employers' Liability Act 1938 44	
	THE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT, 1923 45	
	SCOPE AND COVERAGE OF THE ACT 45	
	DEFINITIONS 46	
	RULES REGARDING WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION 50	
	Defences available to employers 55	
	AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION 55	
	DISTRIBUTION OF COMPENSATION 61	
	MISCELLANEOUS 63	
	ENFORCEMENT OF THE ACT 66	
	RULES 67	
	TEST QUESTIONS 68	
	PRACTICAL PROBLEMS 68	
3.	THE EMPLOYEES' STATE INSURANCE ACT, 1948	71
	APPLICABILITY OF THE ACT 71	
	DEFINITIONS 73	
	ADMINISTRATION OF THE SCHEME 79	
	Employees' State Insurance Corporation 79	
	Standing Committee 81	
	Medical Benefit Council 32	
	OFFICERS AND STAFF 85	
	Director General and Financial Commissioner 85	
	Inspectors 86	
	EMPLOYEES' STATE INSURANCE FUND 87	
	CONTRIBUTIONS OF	

	Rules regarding contributions 92 BENEFITS 99	
	Sickness benefit 99	
	Maternity benefit 100	
	Disablement benefit 101	
	Dependants' benefit 106	
	Medical benefit 107	
	Funeral expenses 109	
	General provisions regarding benefits 109	
	ADJUDICATION OF DISPUTES AND CLAIMS 112	
	Employees' Insurance Court 112	
	PENALTIES 114	
	MISCELLANEOUS 117	
	TEST QUESTIONS 120	
4.	THE EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUNDS AND	
	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS ACT, 1952	12
	APPLICATION OF THE ACT 121	
	DEFINITIONS 122	
	EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUND SCHEMES 125	
	EMPLOYEES' PENSION SCHEME AND FUND 126	
	EMPLOYEES' DEPOSIT-LINKED INSURANCE SCHEME	
	AND FUND 128	
	ADMINISTRATION OF THE SCHEMES 129	
	Central Board 129	
	Executive Committee 130	
	State Board 130	
	DETERMINATION OF MONEY DUE FROM EMPLOYERS 131	
	Employees' Provident Funds Appellate Tribunal 133	
	INSPECTORS 133	
	PENALTIES AND OFFENCES 140	
	MISCELLANEOUS 143	
	Power to remove difficulties 150	
	TEST QUESTIONS 151	
5.	THE PAYMENT OF GRATUITY ACT, 1972	153
	MEANING OF GRATUITY 153	
	DEFINITIONS 154	
	PAYMENT OF GRATUITY 158	
	FORFEITURE OF GRATUITY 160	
	Compulsory insurance 161	
	Protection of gratuity 162	

NOMINATION 162 DETERMINATION OF GRATUITY 163 RECOVERY OF GRATUITY 165 INSPECTORS 165 PENALTIES AND OFFENCES 166 MISCELLANEOUS 167 TEST QUESTIONS 168	
6. THE MATERNITY BENEFIT ACT, 1961 SCOPE AND COVERAGE OF THE ACT 169 DEFINITIONS 169 MATERNITY BENEFIT 171 INSPECTORS 174 MISCELLANEOUS 175 TEST OUESTIONS 175	169
7. THE PAYMENT OF WAGES ACT, 1936 APPLICATION OF THE ACT 177 DEFINITIONS 178 RULES FOR PAYMENT OF WAGES 179 DEDUCTIONS FROM WAGES 181 Maintenance of Registers and Records 184 INSPECTORS 184 APPEAL 188 PENALTY FOR OFFENCES 188 MISCELLANEOUS 189 TEST QUESTIONS 181	177
8. THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 OBJECT OF THE ACT 193 DEFINITIONS 193 FIXATION AND REVISION OF WAGES 196 ADVISORY BOARD 199 CENTRAL ADVISORY BOARD 199 SAFEGUARDS IN PAYMENT OF MINIMUM WAGES 200 INSPECTORS 202 OFFENCES AND PENALTIES 204 MISCELLANEOUS 205 POWER TO MAKE RULES 207 TEST QUESTIONS 208	3
9. THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947 OBJECT OF THE ACT 209	

DEFINITION OF INDUSTRY 210
WHAT IS AN INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE ? 211
DEFINITIONS 213

REFERENCE OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DISPUTES TO GRIEVANCE SETTLEMENT AUTHORITIES 223

AUTHORITIES UNDER THE ACT 223

CONCILIATION MACHINERY 224

Works Committees 224

Conciliation Officers 225

Boards of Conciliation 226

Courts of Inquiry 226

ADJUDICATION MACHINERY 229

Labour Courts 229

Industrial Tribunals 231

National Tribunal 234

PROCEDURE, POWERS AND DUTIES OF AUTHORITIES 236

NOTICE OF CHANGE IN CONDITIONS OF SERVICE 237

REFERENCE OF DISPUTES TO BOARDS, COURTS OR TRIBUNALS 239

REFERENCE TO NATIONAL TRIBUNAL 239

VOLUNTARY REFERENCE OF DISPUTES TO ARBITRATION 241

AWARD 241

STRIKES AND LOCK-OUTS 245

Prohibition of strikes and lock-outs 245

Lock-out in a public utility service 246

Strike and lock-out in an industrial establishment 246

Illegal strikes and lock-outs 247

LAY-OFF 249

RETRENCHMENT 250

TRANSFER AND CLOSING DOWN OF UNDERTAKINGS 251

UNFAIR LABOUR PRACTICES 258

PENALTIES 259

MISCELLANEOUS 259

TEST QUESTIONS 264

10. THE INDUSTRIAL EMPLOYMENT (STANDING ORDERS)
ACT, 1946
265

OBJECT OF THE ACT 265

DEFINITIONS 266

SUBMISSION OF DRAFT STANDING ORDERS 268

CERTIFICATION OF STANDING ORDERS 268

ENFORCEMENT OF THE ACT 270

MISCELLANEOUS 271 TEST QUESTIONS 272 11. THE TRADE UNIONS ACT, 1926 TRADE UNIONS 273 DEFINITIONS 274	16 G 16 W 18 G 18 G 18 G
REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNIONS 275 CANCELLATION OF REGISTRATION AND APPEAL 277 RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES OF A REGISTERED TRADE UNION 278	80 . a 1
AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS 282 DISSOLUTION OF A TRADE UNION 282 REGULATIONS 282 PENALTIES 283 TEST QUESTIONS 284	
12. THE PAYMENT OF TH	
12. THE PAYMENT OF BONUS ACT, 1966 MEANING OF BONUS 285 OBJECT OF THE ACT 285	285
Act not to apply to certain categories of employees 28	
ELIGIBILITY FOR BONUS 201	7
ELIGIBILITY FOR BONUS 291 DISQUALIFICATION FOR POWER	
DISQUALIFICATION FOR BONUS 291 DETERMINATION OF POWER	
DETERMINATION OF BONUS 291 Computation of gross 292	
Determination of available surplus 295 Allocable surplus 299	
Allocable surplus 299 SPECIAL PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ESTABLISHMENTS 303	,
INSPECTORS 304	1
PENALTIES 305	the 1
OFFENCES 305	
MISCELLANEOUS 306	
TEST QUESTIONS 308	
3. THE APPRENTIONS	
SCOPE AND COVERAGE OF	200
DEFINITIONS 311	309
APPRENTICES AND THEIR TRAINING 313	
Termination of approximation	

OBLIGATIONS OF EMPLOYERS 322
OBLIGATIONS OF APPRENTICES 323
AUTHORITIES UNDER THE ACT 324
TEST QUESTIONS 328

14. THE EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGES (COMPULSORY NOTIFICATION OF VACANCIES) ACT, 1959

329

APPLICATION AND SCOPE OF THE ACT 329
ACT NOT TO APPLY IN RELATION TO CERTAIN
VACANCIES 329
DEFINITIONS 329
NOTIFICATION OF VACANCIES 330
PENALTIES 332
MISCELLANEOUS 332
TEST QUESTIONS 332

15. THE COLLECTION OF STATISTICS ACT, 1953

333

DEFINITIONS 333
COLLECTION OF STATISTICS 334
STATISTICS AUTHORITY 334
PENALTIES 335
OFFENCES 336
TEST QUESTIONS 336

Nature of Contract

OBJECT OF THE LAW OF CONTRACT

The law of contract is that branch of law which determines the circumstances in which promises made by the parties to a contract shall be legally binding on them. Its rules define the remedies that are available in a court of law against a person who fails to perform his contract, and the conditions under which the remedies are available. It is the most important branch of business law. It affects all of us in one way or the other. It is, however, of particular importance to people engaged in trade, commerce and industry as bulk of their business transactions are based on contracts.

The law of contract introduces definiteness in business transactions. Sir William Anson observes in this connection that the law of contract is intended to ensure that what a man has been led to expect shall dome to pass, and that what has been promised to him shall be performed. In simple words, it may be said that the purpose of the law of contract is to ensure the realisation of reasonable expectation of the parties who enter into a contract.

THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT, 1872

The law relating to contracts is contained in the Indian Contract Act, 1872 The Act deals with (1) the general principles of the law of contract (Secs. 1 to 75), and (2) some special contracts only (Secs. 124 to 238). The first six chapters of the Act (which embody the general principles) deal with the different stages in the formation of a contract, its essential elements, its performance or breach and the remedies for breach of contract. The remaining chapters deal with some of the special contracts, viz., indemnity and guarantee [Chapter VIII (Secs. 124 to 147)], bailment and pledge [Chapter IX (Secs. 148 to 181)], and agency [Chapter X (Secs. 182 to 238)].

The Act does not affect any usage or custom of trade (Sec. 1, para 1).

The references to Sections in Chapters 1 to 12 are to the Indian Contract Act, 1872, unless otherwise stated.

The Act is not exhaustive

The Indian Contract Act does not profess to be a complete and exhaustive code. It deals with the general principles of the law of contract and with some special contracts only. Some of the contracts not dealt with by the Act are those relating to partnership, sale of goods, negotiable

instruments, insurance, bill of lading, etc. There are separate Acts which deal with these contracts.

Nature of the law of contract

The law of contract differs from other branches of law in an important respect. It does not lay down a number of rights and duties which the law will enforce; it consists rather of a number of limiting principles, subject to which the parties may create rights and duties for themselves which the law will uphold. The parties to a contract, in a sense, make the law for themselves. So long as they do not infringe some legal prohibition, they can make what rules they like in respect of the subject-matter of their agreement, and the law will give effect to their decisions [The English Law of Contract by Anson, 22nd ed. 1964, p. 3].

Law of contract is not the whole law of agreements nor the whole law of obligations

There are several agreements which do not give rise to legal obligations. They are, therefore, not contracts. Similarly, there are certain obligations which do not necessarily spring from an agreement, e.g., (i) tooks or civil wrongs, (ii) quasi-contracts, (iii) judgments of Courts. These obligations are not contractual in nature. But even then they are enforceable.

Salmond has rightly observed that the law of contract is "not the whole law of agreements, nor is it the whole law of obligations. It is the law of those agreements which create obligations, and those obligations which have their sources in agreements." It excludes from its purview all obligations which are not contractual in nature and agreements which are social in nature.

Law of contract creates jus in personam as distinguished from jus in rem

Jus in rem means a right against or in a respect of a thing; jus in personam means a right against or in respect of a specific person. A jus in rem is available against the world at large; a jus in personam is available only against particular persons.

Examples. (a) A owes a certain sum of money to B. B has a right to recover this amount from A. This right can be exercised only by B and by none else against A. This right of B is a jus in personam.

(b) X is the owner of a plot of land. He has a right to have quiet possession and enjoyment of that land against every member of the public. Similarly every member of the public is under an obligation not to disturb X's possession or enjoyment. This right of X is a jus in rem.

DEFINITION OF CONTRACT

A contract is an agreement made between two or more parties which the law will enforce. Sec. 2 (h) defines a contract as an agreement e nforceable by law. This definition is based on Pollock's definition which is as follows: "Every agreement and promise enforceable at law is a contract."

Sir William Anson defines a contract as "a legally binding agreement between two or more persons by which rights are acquired by one or more to acts or forbearances (abstaining from doing something) on the part of the others."

According to Salmond, a contract is "an agreement creating and defining obligations between the parties."

Agreement and its enforceability. If we analyse the definitions of contract we find that a contract essentially consists of two elements, viz., (1) agreement, and (2) its enforceability by law.

An agreement is defined as "every promise and every set of promises, forming consideration for each other." [Sec. 2 (e)]. A promise is defined thus: "When the person to whom the proposal is made signifies his assent thereto, the proposal is said to be accepted. A proposal, when accepted, becomes a promise." [Sec. 2 (b)]. This, in other words, means that an agreement is an accepted proposal. In order, therefore, to form an agreement, there must be a proposal or offer by one party and its acceptance by the other. To sum up:

Agreement = Offer + Acceptance.

Consensus ad idem

The essence of an agreement is the meeting of the minds of the parties in full and final agreement; there must, in fact, be consensus ad idem. The expression "agreement" as defined in Sec. 2 (e) is essentially and exclusively consensual in nature [Sunnam Sattiah v. State, A.I.R. (1980) A.P. 16], i.e., before there can be an agreement between two parties, there must be consensus ad idem. This means that the parties to the agreement must have agreed about the subject-matter of the agreement in the same sense and at the same time. Unless there is consensus ad idem, there can be no contract.

Example, A, who owns two horses named Rajhans and Hansraj, is selling horse Rajhans to B. B thinks he is purchasing horse Hansraj. There is no consensus ad idem and consequently no contract.

In order to determine whether, in any given agreement, there is existence of *consensus ad idem*, it is usual to employ the language of offer and acceptance. Thus if A says to B, "Will you purchase my blue car for Rs. 10,000?" and B says "yes" to it, there is *consensus ad idem* and an afreement comes into existence.

Obligation

An agreement, to become a contract, must give rise to a legal obligation or duty. The term 'obligation' is defined as a legal tie which imposes upon a definite person or persons the necessity of doing or abstaining from doing a definite act or acts. It may relate to social or legal matters. An agreement which gives rise to a social obligation is not a contract. It must give rise to a legal obligation in order to become a contract.

Examples. (a) A agrees to sell his car to B for Rs. 10,000. The agreement gives rise to an obligation on the part of A to deliver the car to B and on the part of B to pay Rs. 10,000 to A. This agreement is a contract.

(b) A promises to sell his car to B for Rs. 10,000 received by him as the price of the car. The agreement gives rise to an obligation on the part of A to deliver the car to B. This agreement is also a contract.

Agreement is a very wide term

An agreement may be a social agreement or a legal agreement. If A invites B to a dinner and B accepts the invitation, it is a social agreement. A social agreement does not give rise to contractual obligations and is not enforceable in a Court of law. It is only those agreements which are enforceable in a Court of law which are contracts.

Examples. (a) A invites his friend B to come and stay with him for a week. B accepts the invitation but when he comes to A, A cannot accommodate him as his wife had died the day before. B cannot claim any compensation from A as the agreement is a social one.

(b) A father promises to pay his son Rs. 100 every month as pocket allowance. Later he refuses to pay. The son cannot recover as it is a domestic agreement and there is no intention on the part of the parties to create legal relations.

To conclude: Contract = Agreement + Enforceability at law.

Thus all contracts are agreements but all agreements are not necessarily contracts.

ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF A VALID CONTRACT

According to Sec. 10, all agreements are contracts if they are made by the *free consent* of parties *competent to contract*, for a *lawful consideration* and with a *lawful object* and are not expressly declared to be *void*. In order to become a contract, an agreement must have the following essential elements:

1. Offer and acceptance. There must be two parties to an agreement, i.e., one party making the offer and other party accepting it. The terms of the offer must be definite and the acceptance of the offer must be absolute and unconditional. The acceptance must also be according to the mode prescribed and must be communicated to the offeror.

2. Intention to create legal relationship. When the two parties enter into an agreement, their intention must be to create legal relationship between them. If there is no such intention on the part of the parties, there is no contract between them. Agreements of a social or domestic nature do not contemplate legal relationship. as such they are not contracts.

Example. A husband promised to pay his wife a household allowance of £ 30 every month. Later the parties separated and the husband failed to pay the amount. The wife sued for the allowance. Held, agreements such as these were outside the realm of contract altogether [Balfour v. Balfour, (1919) 2 K.B. 571].

In commercial and business agreements, the presumption is usually that the parties intended to create legal relations. But this presumption is rebuttable which means that it must be shown that the parties did not intend to be legally bound.

Examples. (a) There was an agreement between R Company and C Company by means of which the former was appointed as the agent of the latter. One clause in the agreement was: "This agreement is not entered into.....as a formal or legal agreement, and shall not be subject to legal jurisdiction in the law courts." Held, there was no binding contract as there was no intention to create legal relationship [Rose & Frank Co. v. Crompton Bros., (1925) A.C. 445].

(b) In an agreement, a document contained a condition "that it shall not be attended by or give rise to any legal relationship, rights, duties, consequences whatsoever or be legally enforceable or be the subject of litigation, but all such arrangements, agreements and transactions are binding in honour only." Held, the condition was valid and the agreement was not binding [Jones v. Vernon's Pools. Ltd. (1938) 2 All E.R. 626].

3. Lawful consideration. An agreement to be enforceable by law must be supported by consideration. 'Consideration' means an advantage or benefit moving from one party to the other. It is the essence of a bargain. In simple words, it means 'something in return'. The agreement is legally enforceable only when both the parties give something and get something in return. A promise to do something, getting nothing in return is usually not enforceable by law. Consideration need not necessarily be in cash or kind. It may be an act or abstinence (abstaining from doing something) or promise to do or not to do something. It may be past, present or future. But it must be real and lawful [Secs. 2 (d), 23 and 25].

4. Capacity of parties—competency. The parties to the agreement must be capable of entering into a valid contract. Every person is competent to contract if he (a) is of the age of majority, (b) is of sound mind, and (c) is not disqualified from contracting by any law to which he is subject (Secs. 11 and 12). Flaw in capacity to contract may arise from minority, lunacy, idiocy, drunkenness, etc., and status. If a party suffers from any flaw in capacity, the agreement is not enforceable except in

some special cases.

5. Free and genuine consent. It is essential to the creation of every contract that there must be free and genuine consent of the parties to the agreement. The consent of the parties is said to be free when they are of the same mind on all the material terms of the contract. The parties are said to be of the same mind when they agree about the subject-matter of the contract in the same sense and at the same time (Sec. 13). There is absence of free consent if the agreement is induced by coercion, undue influence, fraud, misrepresentation, etc. (Sec. 14).

6. Lawful object. The object of the agreement must be lawful. In other words, it means that the object must not be (a) illegal, (b) immoral, or (c) opposed to public policy (Sec. 23). If an agreement suffers from any legal

flaw, it would not be enforceable by law.

7. Agreement not declared void. The agreement must not have been expressly declared void by law in force in the country (Secs. 24 to 30 and 50)

8. Certainty and possibility of performance. The agreement must be certain and not vague or indefinite (Sec. 29). If it is vague and it is not possible to ascertain its meaning, it cannot be enforced.

Examples. (a) A agrees to sell to B "a hundred tons of oil". There is nothing whatever to show what kind of oil was intended. The

agreement is void for uncertainty.

(b) O agreed to purchase a motor van from S "on hire-purchase terms". The hire-purchase price was to be paid over two years. Held, there was no contract as the terms were not certain about rate of interest and mode of payment. No precise meaning could be attributed to the words "on hire-purchase" since there was a wide variety of hire-purchase terms [Scammel v. Ouston, (1941) A.C. 251].

(c) A company agreed with V that on the expiration of V's existing contract, it would favourably consider an application by V for a renewal of his contract. Held, the agreement was not intended to bind the company to renew its contract with V and imposed no obligation on it to review it [Montreal Gas Co. v. Vasey, (1900) A.C.

595].

The terms of the agreement must also be such as are capable of performance. Agreement to do an act impossible in itself cannot be enforced Sec. 56 (1)]. For example, where A agrees with B to put life into B's dead wife, the agreement is void as it is impossible of performance.

9. Legal formalities. A contract may be made by words spoken or written. As regards the legal effects, there is no difference between a contract in writing and a contract made by word of mouth. It is, however, in the interest of the parties that the contract should be in writing. There are some other formalities also which have to be complied with in order to make an agreement legally enforceable. In some cases, the document in which the contract is incorporated is to be stamped. In some other cases, a contract, besides being a written one, has to be registered. Thus where there is a statutory requirement that a contract should be made in writing or in the presence of witnesses or registered, the required statutory formalities must be complied with (Sec. 10, para 2).

CLASSIFICATION OF CONTRACTS

Contracts may be classified according to their (1) validity, (2) formation, or (3) performance.

1. Classification according to validity

A contract is based on an agreement. An agreement becomes a contract when all the essential elements referred to above are present. In such a case, the contract is a valid contract. If one or more of these elements is/are missing, the contract is either voidable, void, illegal or unenforceable.

Voidable contract. An agreement which is enforceable by law at the option of one or more of the parties thereto, but not at the option of the other or others, is a voidable contract [Sec. 2 (1)]. This happens when the essential element of free consent in a contract is missing. When the consent of a party to a contract is not free, t.e., it is caused by coercion, undue influence, misrepresentation or fraud, the contract is voidable at his option (Secs. 19 and 19-A). The party whose consent is not free may either rescind (avoid or repudiate) the contract if he so desires, or elect to be bound by it. A voidable contract continues to be valid till it is avoided by the party entitled to do so.

Example. A promises to sell his car to B for Rs. 2,000. His consent is obtained by use of force. The contract is voidable at the option of A. He may avoid the contract or elect to be bound by it.

A contract becomes voidable in the following two cases also:

(1) When a person promises to do something for another person for a consideration but the other person prevents him from performing his promise, the contract becomes voidable at his option (Sec. 53).

Example. A and B contract that B shall execute certain work for A for Rs. 1,000. B is ready and willing to execute the work accordingly but A prevents him from doing so. The contract is voidable at the option of B and if he elects to rescind it, he is entitled to recover from A compensation for any loss which he has incurred by its non-performance.

(2) When a party to a contract promises to perform an obligation within a specified time, any failure on his part to perform his obligation within the fixed time makes the contract voidable at the option of the promisee (Sec. 55, para 1).

When a person at whose option a contract is voidable rescinds it, the other party thereto need not perform any promise therein contained in

which he is promisor. If the party rescinding the contract has received any benefit under the contract from another party to such contract he shall restore such benefit, so far as may be, to the person from whom it was received (Sec. 64). The party rightfully rescinding the contract is also entitled to compensation for any damage which he has sustained through the non-fulfilment of the contract (Sec. 65).

Void agreement and void contract

Void agreement. An agreement not enforceable by law is said to be void [Sec. 2 (g)]. A void agreement does not create any legal rights or obligations. It is a nullity and is destitute of legal effects altogether. It is void ab initio, i.e., from the very beginning as, for example, an agreement with a minor or an agreement without consideration.

Void contract. A contract which ceases to be enforceable by law becomes void when it ceases to be enforceable [Sec. 2 [ji]]. A contract, when originally entered into, may be valid and binding on the parties, e.g., a contract to import goods from a foreign country. It may subsequently become void, e.g., when a war breaks out between the importing country and the exporting country.

It is illogical to talk of a void contract *originally entered into*, for what is supposed to be a contract is no contract at all. We may talk of such a contract as a void agreement.

For a detailed discussion of void contracts, refer to Chapter 7.

Illegal agreement. An illegal agreement is one which trangresses some rule of basic public policy or which is criminal in nature or which is immoral. Such an agreement is a nullity and has much wider import than a void contract. All illegal agreements are void but all void agreements or contracts are not necessarily illegal. An illegal agreement is not only void as between the immediate parties but has this further effect that even the collateral transactions to it become tainted with illegality. A collateral transaction is one which is subsidiary, incidental or auxiliary to the principal or original contract.

Example. B borrows Rs. 5,000 from A and enters into a contract with an alien to import prohibited goods. A knows of the purpose of the loan. The transaction between B and A is collateral to the main agreement. It is illegal since the main agreement is illegal.

If the main agreement is void, the collateral transactions to it are not affected. In the above case, if B had entered into a void agreement with a minor, the contract between B and A would not have been affected.

Unenforceable contract. An unenforceable contract is one which cannot be enforced in a Court of law because of some technical defect such as absence of writing or where the remedy has been barred by lapse of time. The contract may be carried out by the parties concerned; but in the event of breach or repudiation of such a contract, the aggrieved party will not be entitled to the legal remedies.

2. Classification according to formation

A contract may be (a) made in writing or by word of mouth, or (b) interred from the conduct of the parties or the circumstances of the case. These are the modes of formation of a contract.

Contracts may be classified according to the mode of their formation as follows:

Express contract. If the terms of a contract are expressly agreed upon (whether by words spoken or written) at the time of formation of the contract, the contract is said to be an express contract. Where the offer or acceptance of any promise is made in words, the promise is said to be express(Sec. 9). An express promise results in an express contract.

Implied contract. An implied contract is one which is inferred from the acts or conduct of the parties or course of dealings between them. It is not the result of any express promise or promises by the parties but of their particular acts. It may also result from a continuing course of conduct of the parties. Where the proposal or acceptance of any promise is made otherwise than in words, the promise is said to be implied (Sec. 9). An implied promise results in an implied contract.

Examples. (a) There is an implied contract when A —

- (i) gets into a public bus, or
 - (ii) takes a cup of tea in a restaurant,
 - (iii) obtains a ticket from an automatic weighing machine, or
 - (iv) lifts B's luggage to be carried out of the railway station.
 - (b) A fire broke out in P's farm. He called upon the Upton Fire Brigade to put out the fire which the latter did. P's farm did not come under the free service zone although he believed to be so. Held, he was liable to pay for the service rendered as the service was rendered on an implied promise to pay [Upton Rural District Council v. Powell, (1942) All E.R. 220].

Quasi-contract. Strictly speaking, a quasi-contract is not a contract at all. A contract is intentionally entered into by the parties. A quasi-contract, on the other hand, is created by law. It resembles a contract in that a legal obligation is imposed on a party who is required to perform it. It rests on the ground of equity that "a person shall not be allowed to enrich himself unjustly at the expense of another."

Example. T, a tradesman, leaves goods at C's house by mistake. C treats the goods as his own. C is bound to pay for the goods.

E-Commerce contract. An *E-commerce contract* is one which is entered into between two parties *via* Internet. In Internet, different individuals or companies create networks which are linked to numerous other networks. This expands the area of operation in commercial transactions for any person.

3. Classification according to performance

To the extent to which the contracts have been performed, these may be classified as — $\,$

(1) Executed contract. 'Executed' means that which is done. An executed contract is one in which both the parties have performed their respective obligations.

Example. A agrees to paint a picture for B for Rs. 100. When A paints the picture and B pays the price, *i.e.*, when both the parties perform their obligations, the contract is said to be executed.

In some cases, even though a contract may appear to be completed at once, its effects may still continue. Thus when a person buys a bun containing a stone and subsequently breaks one of his teeth, he has a right to recover damages from the seller [Chaproniere v. Mason, (1905) 21 T.L.R. 633].

(2) Executory contract. 'Executory' means that which remains to be carried into effect. An executory contract is one in which both the parties

have yet to perform their obligations. Thus in the above example, the contract is executory if A has not yet painted the picture and B has not paid the price. Similarly, if A agrees to engage B as his servant from the next month, the contract is executory.

A contract may sometimes be partly executed and partly executory. Thus if B has paid the price to A and A has not yet painted the picture, the contract is executed as to B and executory as to A.

Another classification of contracts according to the performance is as follows:

Unilateral or one-sided contract. A unilateral or one-sided contract is one in which only one party has to fulfil his obligation at the time of the formation of the contract, the other party having fulfilled his obligation at the time of the contract or before the contract comes into existence. Such contracts are also known as contracts with executed consideration.

Example. A permits a railway coolie to carry his luggage and place it in a carriage. A contract comes into existence as soon as the luggage is placed in the carriage. But by that time the coolie has already performed his obligation. Now only A has to fulfil his obligation, i.e., pay the reasonable charges to the coolie.

Bilateral contract A bilateral contract is one in which the obligations on the part of both the parties to the contract are outstanding at the time of the formation of the contract. In this sense, bilateral contracts are similar to executory contracts and are also known as contracts with executory consideration.

CLASSIFICATION OF CONTRACTS IN ENGLISH LAW

In English Law, contracts are classified into (1) formal contracts, and (2) simple contracts.

1. Formal contracts. These include (a) contracts of record, and (b) contracts under seal,

(a) Contracts of record. A contract of record is either a judgment of a Court or a recognisance. A judgment is an obligation imposed by a Court upon one or more persons in favour of another or others. Strictly speaking, it is not a contract which rests upon agreement. A recognisance is a written acknowledgement of a debt due to the Crown. It is usually met with in connection with criminal proceedings. For example, when a person is arrested, he may be released on a promise to appear in a Court or to be of good behaviour, subject to a money penalty if the obligation is broken. This obligation is a recognisance.

Contracts of record derive their binding force from the authority of the Court. They are, in fact, not real contracts because they lack the essential element of consensus. They are enforced compulsorily by the Court.

(b) Contracts under seal. A contract under seal is one which derives its binding force from its form alone. It is in writing and is signed, sealed and delivered by the parties. It is also called a deed or a speciality contract. No consideration is, however, necessary in the case of contracts under seal.

The contracts which must be made under seal, include (1) contracts made without consideration, (ii) contracts made by corporations, (iii) conveyances of the legal estate in land or any interest in land, including

leases of land for more than three years, and (tv) a transfer of a British ship, or any share therein.

Contracts of record and contracts under seal are known as formal contracts because their validity depends on the form in which they are made.

2. Simple contracts. All contracts which are not made under seal are simple contracts. They may be in writing or may be made by word of mouth. All simple contracts must be supported by consideration. These contracts are also known by the older name—parol contracts.

The classification of contracts as formal and simple is according to the English Law. Our laws knows nothing of the formal contracts. Subject to certain exceptions, all contracts under the Indian Law must be supported by consideration. But there are certain types of contracts which must be in writing. In certain cases contracts have also to be registered.

SUMMARY

DEFINITION OF CONTRACT

A contract is an agreement made between two or more parties which the law will enforce. According to Sec. 2 (h), a contract is an agreement enforceable by law. An agreement comes into existence by the process of offer by one party and its unqualified acceptance by the other party.

The parties who enter into an agreement must agree upon the subject-matter in the same sense and at the same time, i.e., there must be consensus ad idem,

An agreement may be a social agreement or a legal agreement. A social agreement is that which does not give rise to legal consequences. In case of its breach the parties cannot go to the Law Court to enforce a right. A legal agreement is that which gives rise to legal consequences and remedies in the Law Court in case of its breach.

Essentials of contract. (1) There must be an agreement. This involves two parties, one party making the offer and the other party accepting it. (2) The parties must intend to create legal relationship. (3) The parties must be capable of entering into an agreement as regards age and understanding. (4) The agreement must be supported by consideration on both sides. (5) The consent of the parties must be free and genuine. (6) The object of the agreement must be lawful (7) The terms of the agreement must be certain and capable of performance. (8) The agreement must not have been expressly declared as void.

CLASSIFICATION OF CONTRACTS

Void agreement—an agreement not enforceable by law [Sec. 2 (g)].

Void contract—a contract which ceases to be enforceable by law [Sec. 2 ()].

Voidable contract—a contract which is enforceable by law at the option of one party thereto, but not at the option of the other [Sec. 2 (1)].

Illegal agreement—an agreement which involves the transgression of some rule of basic public policy and is criminal in nature or immoral. It is not only void as between the immediate parties but it also taints the collateral transactions with illegality.

Express contract—a contract is which the terms are stated in words (written or spoken) by the parties.

Implied contract—a contract which is inferred from the circumstances of the case or from the conduct of the parties.

Quasi-contract—an obligation created by law, regardless of agreement.

Executed contract—a contract which is wholly performed by both the parties.

Executory contract—a contract in which the promises of both the parties have yet to be performed.

Partly executory, partly executed—a contract in which one party has performed his obligation, but the other party has yet to perform his obligation.

Unilateral contract—a contract in which only one party has yet to perform his obligation.

Bilateral contract—a contract in which both the parties have yet to perform their obligations.

TEST QUESTIONS

- 1. What is the object and nature of the law of contract?
- 2. "The Indian Contract Act, 1872 is not a complete code dealing with all branches of the law of contract." Comment.
- 3. "The parties to a contract, in a sense, make the law for themselves." Comment.
- 4. "All agreements are not contracts but all contract are agreements." Discuss the statement explaining the essential elements of a valid contract.
- 5. Describe the essentials of a valid contract. When does an agreement become void?
- 6. (a) "The law of contract is not the whole law of agreements, nor is it the whole law of obligations." Discuss.
- (b) What tests would you apply to ascertain whether an agreement is a contract?
- 7. Make up an example to illustrate the correlative nature of rights and obligations arising from a contract.
- 8. Illustrate the distinction between void, voidable and illegal agreements. Discuss the validity of agreements collateral to such agreements.
 - 9. Distinguish between the following classes of contracts:
- (a) Express and implied contracts. (b) Executed and executory contracts. (c) Valid, void and voidable contracts. (d) Void agreements and void contracts.
- 10. "In commercial and business agreements, the presumption is that the parties intended to create legal relations." Discuss.
- 11. "As regards the legal effects, there is no difference between a contract in writing and a contract made by word of mouth." Discuss.
- 12. Write short notes on: Unenforceable contract, executed contract, obligation, consensus ad idem, unilateral contract, quasi-contract.

PRACTICAL PROBLEMS

Attempt the following problems, giving reasons:

- 1. Over a cup of coffee in a restaurant, A invites B to a dinner at his house on a Sunday. B hires a taxi and reaches A's house at the appointed time, but A fails to perform his promise. Can B recover any damages from A?
 - [Hint: No. (Bulfour v. Balfour)].
 - 2. State whether there is any contract in the following cases:
- (a) A engages B for a certain work and promises to pay such remuneration as shall be fixed by C. B does the work.
 - (b) A and B promise to marry each other.
 - (c) A takes a seat in a public vehicle.
 - (d) A invites B to a card party. B accepts the invitation.
 - [Hint: (a) There is a contract between A and B and A is bound to pay the remuneration as shall be fixed by C. If C does not fix, or refuses to fix the remuneration, A is bound to pay a reasonable remuneration. (b) and (c) There is a contract between A and B. (d) There is no contract.]
- 3. A forced B to enter into a contract at the point of pistol. What remedy is available to B. if he does not want to be bound by the contract?
 - [Hint: He can repudiate the contract as his consent is not free].
- 4. M mows L's lawn without being asked by L to do so. L watches M do the work but does not attempt to stop him. Is L bound to pay any charges to M?
 - [Hint: Yes, L is bound to pay M a reasonable remuneration].
- 5. C orally offered to pay A, an auto mechanic, Rs. 50 for testing a used car which C was about to purchase from D. A agreed and tested the car. C paid A Rs. 50 in cash for his services. Is the agreement between C and A (a) express or implied, (b) executed or executory, (c) valid, void, voidable or unenforceable?
 - [Hint: The agreement is (a) express, (b) executed, and (c) valid].

6. A promises to pay B Rs. 500 if he (B) beats C. B beats C, but A : efus-s to pay. Can B recover the amount?

[Hint: No as the agreement is illegal].

7. A sent in a football pools coupon containing a condition that it "shall not be attended by or give rise to any legal relationship, rights, duties, consequences." He sued for £ 4,335 which he claimed to have won. Is the claim enforceable?

[No. (Appleson v. Littlewood Ltd., (1939) 1 All E.R. 464)].

8. D lived as a paying boarder with a family. He agreed with the members of the family to share prize money of a newspaper competition. The entry sent by D won a prize of \pounds 750. He refused to share the amount won. Can the members of the

[Hint: Yes, as there was a "mutuality in the agreement between the parties" and the parties had intended to be bound (Simpkins v. Pays, (1955) 3 All E.R. [10]].

Offer and Acceptance

OFFER

At the inception of every agreement, there must be a *definite offer* by one person to another and its *unqualified acceptance* by the person to whom the offer is made. An offer is a proposal by one party to another to enter into a legally binding agreement with him. A person is said to have made a proposal, when he "signifies to another his willingness to do of to abstain from doing anything, with a view to obtaining the assent of that other to such act or abstinence." [Sec. 2 (a)]. For example, A says to B, "Will you purchase my car for Rs. 5,000?" A, in this case, is making an offer to B as he signifies to B his willingness to sell his car to B for Rs. 5,000 with a view to obtaining B's assent to purchase the car.

The person making the offer is known as the offeror, proposer, or promisor and the person to whom it is made is called the offeree or proposee. When the offeree accepts the offer, he is called the acceptor or promisee [Sec. 2 (d)].

How an offer is made

An offer may be made by express words, spoken or written. This is known as an express offer. For example, when A says to B, "Will you purchase my house at Meerut for Rs. 50,000?" or when A advertises in a newspaper offering Rs. 50 to anyone who returns his lost dog, there is an express offer.

An offer may also be implied from the conduct of the parties or the circumstances of the case. This is known as an implied offer. Thus when a transport company runs a bus on a particular route, there is an implied offer by the transport company to carry passengers for a certain fare. The acceptance of the offer is complete as soon as a passenger boards the bus [Wilkie v. London Passenger Transport Board, (1947) 1 All E.R. 258, C.A.]. Likewise when a weighing machine is installed on a railway platform or a public place, there is an implied offer by the owner of the machine. Any one by putting the required coin in the slot of the weighing machine can accept this offer.

When an offer is made to a definite person, it is called a **specific offer**. It can be accepted only by the person to whom it is made.

When an offer is made to the world at large, it is called a general offer.

Example, A company advertised in several newspaperis that a reward of £ 100 would be given to any person who contracted influenza after using the smoke balls of the company according to its printed directions. One Mrs. Carlill used the smoke balls according to the directions of the company but contracted influenzalield, she could recover the amount as by using the smoke balls she had accepted the offer [Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co., (1893) 1 Q.B. 256].

Where an offer is made to the world at large, any person or persons with notice of the offer may accept the offer. When the offer is accepted by a particular person, there is a contract between the offerer and that particular person. If a large number of persons accept the offer, there are as many contracts as the number of persons accepting the offer. Where a reward has been offered for giving a specific piece of information, e.g., information about the thief of a certain property, or finding of a specific thing, acceptance can be made only by the first person who gives the information [Lancaster v. Walsh, (1838) 4 M. & W. 16].

What constitutes an offer ?

Not every proposal made by an offerer is legally regarded as an offer. The tests to determine whether or not an offer has actually been made are as follows:

1. The offer must show an obvious intention on the part of the offerer to be bound by it, *i.e.*, the offerer must signify to the offeree his willingness to do or to abstain from doing something. Thus if A jokingly offers B Rs. 10 for his typewriter and B, knowing that A is not serious, says, "I accept," A's proposal does not constitute an offer.

2. The offerer must make the offer with a view to obtaining the assent

of the offeree to such act or abstinence.

3. The offer must be definite.

4. It must be communicated to the offeree.

These points have been discussed at appropriate places.

LEGAL RULES AS TO OFFER

1. Offer must be such as in law is capable of being accepted and giving rise to legal relationship. A social invitation, even if it is accepted, does not create legal relations because it is not so intended. An offer, therefore, must be such as would result in a valid contract when it is accepted.

2. Terms of offer must be definite, unambiguous and certain and not loose and vague. If the terms of an offer are vague or indefinite, its

acceptance cannot create any contractual relationship.

Examples. (a) A offered to take a house on lease for three years at £ 285 per annum if the house was "put into thorough repair and drawing rooms handsomely decorated according to the present style." Held, the offer was too vague to result in a contractual relation [Taylor v. Portington, (1855) All E.R. 128].

(b) A says to B, "I will sell you a car." A owns three different cars.

The offer is not definite.

(c) O accepted to buy from S a motor van giving another van in part exchange. The contract provided: "This order is given on the understanding that the balance of the purchase price can be had on hire purchase terms over a period of two years." Held, the order (i.e., the offer) was too vague to be given a definite meaning and, therefore, there was no contract [Scammel v. Ouston, (1941) A.C. 251].

(d) A husband on leaving his wife promised to pay her £15 a week "so long as I can manage it". Held, although the husband and wife, who lived apart because of break-up of their marriage, could enter into a legally binding agreement, the vague or discretionary terms of the arrangement indicated an intention not to create legal relations [Gould v. Gould, (1970) 1 Q.B. 275].

But if the agreement contains a machinery for ascertaining a vague term, the agreement is not void on the ground of its being vague.

Example. F sold a piece of land to a motor company subject to an agreement that the company should buy all their petrol from F at a price to be agreed by the parties from time to time. Any dispute was to be submitted to arbitration. The price was never agreed and the company refused to buy. Held, there was a binding contract to buy petrol of reasonable quality at a reasonable price to be determined in case of dispute by arbitration [Foley v. Classique Coaches Ltd., (1934) 2 K.B. 1).

4. An offer may be distinguished from :

(i) A declaration of intention and an announcement. A declaration by a person that he intends to do something gives no right of action to another. Such a declaration only means that an offer will be made or invited in future and not that an offer is made now. An advertisement for a concert or an auction sale does not amount to an offer to hold such concert or auction sale [Executive Engineer, Sundargarh v. Mohan Prasad Sahu, A.I.R. (1990) Ori. 26].

Examples. (a) An auctioneer advertised in a newspaper that a sale of office furniture would be held. A broker came from a distant place to attend that auction, but all the furniture was withdrawn. The broker thereupon sued the auctioneer for his loss of time and expenses. Held, a declaration of intention to do a thing did not create a binding contract with those who acted upon it, so that the broker could not recover [Harris v. Nickerson (1873) L.R. 8 Q. B. 286].

(b) A father wrote to his would-be son-in-law that his daughter would have a share of what he left. *Held*, it was merely a statement of intention [*Re Ficus*, (1900) 1 Ch. 331].

Likewise, an announcement of a beauty competition by a Beauty Parlour or a scholarship examination by some College is not an offer [Rooke v. Dawson, (1895) 1 Ch. 480].

(ii) An invitation to make an offer or do business. Display of goods by a shopkeeper in his window, with prices marked on them, is not an offer but merely an invitation to the public to make an offer to buy the goods at the marked prices. Likewise, quotations, catalogues, advertisements in a newspaper for sale of an article, or circulars sent to potential customers do not constitute an offer. They are instead an invitation to the public to make an offer. A person, in case the prices of the goods are marked, cannot force the seller to sell the goods at those prices. He can, at the most, ask the seller to sell the goods to him, in which case he would be making an offer to the seller and it is up to the seller to accept t...

Example. Goods are sold in a shop under the 'self-service' system. Customers select goods in the shop and take them to the cashier for payment of the price. The contract, in this case, is made, not when a customer selects the goods, but when the cashier accepts the offer to buy and receives the price [Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists, (1953) 1 Q.B. 401].

Newspaper advertisements are not offers. A recognised exception to this is a general offer of reward to the public. Thus when A advertises in a newspaper that he would pay Rs. 100 to anyone who finds and returns her lost dog, the offer is addressed to the first person who by performing the suited act with knowledge of the offer of reward, creates an agreement.

4. Offer must be communicated. An offer, to be complete, must be communicated to the person to whom it is made. Unless an offer is communicated to the offeree by the offeror or by his duly authorise agent, there can be no acceptance of it.

An acceptance of an offer, in ignorance of the offer, is no acceptance

and does not confer any right on the acceptor.

Examples. (a) S offered a reward to anyone who returned his lost dog. F brought the dog to S without having heard of the offer. Held, it was not entitled to the reward [Fitch v. Snedaker, (1868) 38 N.Y. 288].

- (b) S sent his servant, L, to trace his missing nephew. He then announced that anybody who traced his nephew would be entitled to a certain reward. L traced the boy in ignorance of this announcement Subsequently when he came to know of the reward, he claimed it Held, he was not entitled to the reward [Lalman v. Gauri Dutt, (1913) 11 All. L.J. 489].
- 5. Offer must be made with a view to obtaining the assent. The offer to do or not to do something must be made with a view to obtaining the assent of the other party addressed and not merely with a view to disclosing the intention of making an offer.
- 6. Offer should not contain a term the non-compliance of which may be assumed to amount to acceptance. Thus a man cannot say that if acceptance is not communicated by a certain time, the offer would be considered as accepted. For example, where A writes to B, "I will sell you my horse for Rs. 5,000 and if you do not reply, I shall assume you have accepted the offer," there is no contract if B does not reply. 'B is under no obligation to speak. However, if B is in possession of A's horse at the time the offer is made and he continues to use the horse thereafter, B's silence and his continued use of horse amount to acceptance on his part of the terms of A's offer.
- 7. A-statement of price is not an offer. A mere statement of price is not construed as an offer to sell [Harvey v. Facey, (1893) App. Cas 552].

Example. Three telegrams were exchanged between Harvey and Facey.

- 1. "Will you sell us your Bumper Hall Pen? Telegraph lowest cash price—answer paid." (Harvey to Facey).
 - 2. "Lowest price for Bumber Hall Pen £ 900." (Facey to Harvey).
- 3. "We agree to buy Bumper Hall Pen for the sum of £ 900 asked by you." (Harvey to Facey).

Held, there was no concluded contract between Harvey and Facey [Harvey v. Facey, (1893) A.C. 552].

The first telegram asked two questions: (1) the willingness of Facey to sell, and (ii) the lowest price. Facey replied only to the second question and gave his lowest price, i.e., he supplied mere information and no offer had been made by him to sell. There could be a contract only if he had accepted Harvey's last telegram.

Tenders

A tender (in response to an invitation to offer) is an offer and may be either—

- (1) a definite offer to supply specified goods or services; or
 - (2) a standing offer.

(1) Tender as a definite offer. When tenders are invited for the supply of specified goods or services, each tender submitted is an offer. The party inviting tenders may accept any tender he chooses and thus bring about a binding contract.

Example. A invites tenders for the supply of 1,00,000 bricks. X, Y, and Z submit the tenders. A accepts X's tender. There is a binding contract between A and X.

(2) Tender as a standing offer. Where goods or services are required over a certain period, a trader may invite tenders as a standing offer which is a continuing offer. The acceptance of a standing offer has the effect that as and when the goods or services are required, an order is placed with the person who submitted the tender and each time a distinct contract is made.

Example. A railway company invited tenders for certain iron articles which it might require over a year. W's tender was accepted. He supplied goods to the railway company for some time under the orders given by the latter. He refused to execute an order given during the currency of the tender. Held, W could not refuse to supply goods within the terms of the tender [Great Northern Rail. v. Witham, (1873) L.R. 9 C.P. 16].

Special terms in a contract

Where any special terms are to be included in a contract, these must be duly brought to the notice of the offeree at the time when the proposal is made. If it is not done and if the contract is subsequently entered into, the offeree will not be bound by them. Also these terms should be presented in such a manner that a reasonable man can become aware of them before he enters into a contract.

Examples (a) A hotel put a notice in a bed room, exempting the proprietor from liability for loss of client's goods. Held, the notice was not effective as it came to the knowledge of the client only when the contract to take a room had already been entered into [Olley v. Marlborough Court Ltd., (1949) K.B. 532].

(b) A transport company accepts goods of G for being carried without any conditions. Subsequently it issues a circular to the consignors limiting its liability for the goods damaged or lost in transit. G is not bound by this condition since it is not communicated to him prior to the date of contract.

Certain conditions are attached to transactions like purchase of a ticket for a journey or deposit of luggage in a cloak room. Wherever on the face of a ticket the words "For conditions see back" are printed, the person concerned is as a matter of law held to be bound by the conditions subject to which the ticket is issued whether he takes care to read them or not. The fact that he did not or could not read does not alter the legal position.

Examples. (a) P deposited a bag in the cloak room of a railway station. On the face of the ticket, issued to him, was written "See back". One of the printed conditions limited the liability of the company for loss of a package to £ 10. The bag was lost and P claimed £ 24.50 as its value. Held, P was bound by the conditions on the back of the ticket even if he had not read them [Parker v. S.E. Rail. Co. (1877) 2 C.P.D. 416].

(b) P agreed to purchase a machine and signed a contract to the effect. The contract contained several clauses in small print which P

0

p

le

m

did not read. Held, P was bound by those clauses [L'Estrange v. Graucob Ltd., (1934) 2 K.B., 394].

(c) T, a lady who could not read, took a ticket from a railway company. On the face of the ticket was written "For conditions see back". One of the conditions absolved the railway company from liability for personal injuries to passengers. T was injured by a railway accident. Held, she was bound by the conditions and could not recover damages [Thompson v. L.M. & S. Rail. Co., (1930) 1 K.B. 41].

If conditions are printed on the back of a ticket, but there are no words at all on the face of it to draw the attention of the person concerned to those conditions, he is not bound by them. However, if the conditions are contained in a voucher or receipt for payment of money which is normally not supposed to contain the conditions of the contract, they do not bind the person receiving the voucher or receipt.

Example. C hired a deck chair from Municipal Council. He paid a hire of 2d. for 2 sessions of 3 hours. He was given a ticket which he put in his pocket unread. The ticket contained the following condition: "The Council will not be liable for any accident or damage arising from hire of chair." When C sat in the chair, it broke and injured him. Held, the Council was liable to P in damages for personal injury. Slesser, L. J. observed: "In my opinion this ticket is no more than a receipt and is quite different from a railway ticket which contains upon it the terms upon which a railway company agrees to carry passengers." [Chapleton v. Barry Urban District Council, (1940) 1 K.B. 352].

Ordinarily, the acceptance of a document containing the contract implies acceptance of all the terms and contained in the document. Exceptions are, however, made in the following cases:

- (1) When there is a misrepresentation or fraud.
- (2) When the notice of the terms is insufficient.

Example. A purchased a ticket which had on its face "Dublin to Whitehaven". On the back of the ticket, there was a term that the carrying company was not liable for losses of any kind. But there was nothing on the face of the ticket to draw A's notice to the terms and conditions on the back of the ticket. Held, A was not bound by these terms and conditions [Henderson v. Stevenson, (1875) L.R. 2 H.L. App. Cas. 470].

3. When there is nothing to lead the purchaser of a ticket to believe that there are additional terms.

Crosss Offers

When two parties make identical offers to each other, in ignorance of each other's offer, the offers are cross offers. In such a case, the Court will not construe one offer as the offer and the other as the acceptance and as such there can be no concluded contract [Tinn v. Hoffmann, (1873) 29 L. T. 271].

ACCEPTANCE

A contract emerges from the acceptance of an offer. Acceptance is the act of assenting by the offeree to an offer. In other words, it is the manifestation by the offeee of his willingness to be bound by the terms of the offer. It is "to an offer what a lighted match is to a train of gunpowder. It produces something which cannot be recalled, or undone." This means when the offeree signifies his assent to the offeror, the offer is said to be accepted. An offer when accepted becomes a promise [Sec. 2 [b]].

Acceptance may be **express** or **implied**. It is *express* when it is communicated by words, spoken or written or by doing some required act. It is *implied* when it is to be gathered from the surrounding circumstances or the conduct of the parties.

Examples. (a) At an auction sale, S is the highest bidder. The auctioneer accepts the offer by striking the hammer on the table. This is an implied acceptance.

(b) A widow promised to settle some immovable property on her niece if the niece stayed with her in her residence. The niece stayed with her in her residence till her death. *Held*, the niece was entitled to the property [V. Rao v. A. Rao, (1916) 30 Mad. 509].

Acceptance of an offer requires more than a tacit (implied) formation of intention to accept. To give evidence of that intention, there must be some overt (apparent) act, or words spoken or written must be used.

Acceptance means in general communicated acceptance (Anson).

Who can accept

Acceptance of particular offer. When an offer is made to a particular person, it can be accepted by him alone. If it is accepted by any other person, there is no valid acceptance. The rule of law is clear that if you propose to make a contract with A, B cannot substitute himself for A without your consent.

Example. Boulton bought a hose-pipe business from Brockle-hurst. Jones to whom Brocklehurst owed a debt, placed an order with Brocklehurst for the supply of certain goods. Boulton supplied the goods even though the order was not addressed to him. Jones refused to pay Boulton for the goods because he, by entering into contract with Brocklehurst, intended to set off his debt against Brocklehurst. Held, the offer was made to Brocklehurst and it was not in the power of Boulton to step in and accept and therefore there was no contract [Boulton v. Jones, (1857) 2 H. and N. 564].

Under a quasi-contract, Boulton can however recover the goods from Jones. $\,$

Acceptance of general offer. When an offer is made to world at large, any persons to whom the offer is made can accept it [Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co., (1893) 1 Q. B. 256].

LEGAL RULES AS TO ACCEPTANCE

The acceptance of an offer is the very essence of a contract. To be legally effective, it must satisfy the following conditions :

1. It must be absolute and unqualified, i.e., it must conform with the offer. An acceptance, in order to be binding, must be absolute and unqualified [Sec. 7 (1)] in respect of all terms of the offer, whether material or immaterial, major or minor. If the parties are not ad idem on all matters concerning the offer and acceptance, there is no contract.

Examples. (a) A made an offer to B to purchase a house with possession from 25th July. The offer was followed by an acceptance suggesting possession from 1st August. *Held*, there was no concluded contract [Routledge v. Grant, (1828) 4 Bing. 653].

(b) M offered to seil a piece of land to N at £ 280. N accepted a enclosed £ 80 with a promise to pay the balance by month instalments of £ 50 each. Held, there was no contract between M at N, as the acceptance was not unqualified [Neale v. Merret, (1930) W 189].

(c) N offered to buy Js horse if warranted quiet in harness. J age to the price and warranted the horse quiet in double harness. Held there was no acceptance [Jordon v. Norton, (1838) 4 M. & W. 155].

- (d) A says to B, "I offer to sell my car for Rs. 50,000." B replies, will purchase it for Rs. 45,000." This is no acceptance and amounts a counter-offer.
- 2. It must be communicated to the offeror. To conclude a contract between the parties, the acceptance must be communicated in some perceptible form. A mere resolve or mental determination on the particular the offeree to accept an offer, when there is no external manifestation of the intention to do so, is not sufficient [Bhagwan Dass Kedia v. Girdha Lal, A.I.R. (1966) S.C. 543]. In order to result in a contract, the acceptant must be a "matter of fact".

Examples. (a) A tells B that he intends to marry C, but tells C nothing of his intention. There is no contract, even if C is willing to marry A.

- (b) F offered to buy his nephew's horse for £ 30 saying: "If I hear not more about it I shall consider the horse is mine at £ 30." The nepher did not write to F at all, but he told his auctioneer who was selling his horses not to sell that particular horse because it had been sold to his uncle. The auctioneer inadvertently sold the horse. Held, F had no right of action against the auctioneer as the horse had not been sold to F, his offer of £ 30 not having been accepted [Felthouse v. Bindley (1862) 11 C.B. (N.S.) 869].
- (c) A draft agreement relating to the supply of coal was sent to the manager of a railway company for his acceptance. The manager wrote the word "approved," and put the draft in the drawer of his table intending to send it to the company's solicitor for a formal contract to be drawn up. By some oversight the document remained in the drawer. Held, there was no contract [Brogden v. Metropolitan Rail. Co., (1877) 2 A.C. 666].

In some cases the offeror may dispense with the communication of acceptance. It happens when the performance of certain conditions takes place, or some required act is done (Sec. 8). For example, in Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co., (1893) 1 Q.B. 256, where Carlill used the smoke balls of the company according to its directions and contracted influenza, it amounted to acceptance of the offer by doing the required act and she could claim the reward.

3. It must be according to the mode prescribed or usual and reasonable mode. If the acceptance is not according to the mode prescribed, or some usual and reasonable mode (where no mode is prescribed) the offeror may intimate to the offeree within a reasonable time that the acceptance is not according to the mode prescribed and may insist that the offer must be accepted in the prescribed mode only. If he does not inform the offeree, he is deemed to have accepted the acceptance [Sec. 7 (2)].

Example. A makes an offer to B and says: "If you accept the offer, reply by wire." B sends the rely by post. It will be a valid acceptance

unless A informs B that the acceptance is not according to the mode prescribed.

4. It must be given within a reasonable time. If any time limit is specified, the acceptance must be given within that time. If no time limit is specified, it must be given within a reasonable time.

Example. On June 8 M offered to take shares in R company. He received a letter of acceptance on November 23. He refused to take the shares. Held, M was entitled to refuse as his offer had lapsed as the reasonable period during which it could be accepted had elapsed [Ramsgate Victoria Hotel Co. v. Montefiore, (1886) L.R. 1 Ex. 109].

5. It cannot precede an offer. If the acceptance precedes an offer, it is not a valid acceptance and does not result in a contract.

Example. In a company, shares were allotted to a person who had not applied for them. Subsequently when he applied for shares, he was unaware of the previous allotment. The allotment of shares previous to the application is invalid.

6. It must show an intention on the part of the acceptor to fulfil terms of the promise. If no such intention is present, the acceptance is not valid.

7. It must be given by the party or parties to whom the offer is made.

- 8. It must be given before the offer lapses or before the offer is withdrawn.
- 9. It cannot be implied from silence. The acceptance of an offer cannot be implied from the silence of the offeree or his failure to answer, unless the offeree has by his previous conduct indicated that his silence means that he accepts.

Examples. (a) A wrote to B, "I offer you my car for Rs. 10,000. If I don't hear from you in seven days, I shall assume that you accept." B did not reply at all. There is no contract.

(b) Harvey v. Facey, (1893) App. Cas. 552 discussed earlier in this Chapter.

Acceptance given by a person other than the offeree or by a person who is not authorised to give acceptance is ineffective in law. Likewise information received from an unauthorised person is ineffective [Powel v. Lee, (1908) 24 T.L.R. 606].

Acceptance subject to contract

Where an offeree accepts an offer "subject to contract" or "subject to formal contract" or "subject to contract to be approved by solicitors", the matter remains in the negotiation stage and the parties do not intend to be bound until a formal contract is prepared and signed by them.

Example. C and D signed an agreement for the purchase of a house by D "subject to a proper contract" to be prepared by C's solicitors. A document was prepared by C's solicitors and approved by D's solicitors, but D refused to sign the document. Held, there was no contract as the agreement was only conditional [Chillingworth v. Esche, (1924) 1 Ch. 97].

Unless there is an agreement to the contrary, a contract is made between two parties either when a formal contract is signed by them or, if each party is to sign a separate counterpart of the contract, when the separate counterparts so signed are exchanged.